

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/014,087	01/27/1998	WENDA C. CARLYLE	1416.25US01	4103	
22865	7590 02/07/2003				
ALTERA LAW GROUP, LLC 6500 CITY WEST PARKWAY SUITE 100			EXAMINER		
			PREBILIC, PAUL B		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55344-7704			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738	3738	
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		58			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/014,087	CARLYLE ET AL.			
,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Paul B. Prebilic	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears in the cover sheet with the	e correspond nce address			
THE REPLY FILED 27 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	olication. A proper reply to a which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The expire later been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the state of the shorten been filed in the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten been period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing data S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T date on which the petition under 37 CFR ension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set	e of the final rejection.  THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by m	naterially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cand NOTE:	eling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		onsidered but does NOT place the			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ecause it is not directed SOLE	LY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:		·			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-11,14,15 and 21-29</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disa	approved by the Examiner.			
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. ☐ Other:		Paul Pre-Los Paul B. Prebilic Primary Examiner			



•

· Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph rejections of claims 25 to 28.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it was not persuasive in overcoming the double patenting or prior art rejections. The rationale set forth in the final Office action explains why the Examiner feels that the claim language is not patentable.